

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT**

IN RE THE MATTER OF: MICHAEL SHIPLEY

APPELLANT,

**v.
TRUSTEE FOR CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENT,
STATE OF MISSOURI, DEPT. OF SOCIAL
SERVICES, FAMILY SUPPORT DIV., LAURA
GIBBS AND INTERSTATE COLLECTION
UNIT,**

RESPONDENTS.

DOCKET NUMBER WD78210 Consolidated with WD78370 and WD78519

DATE: August 11, 2015

Appeal From:

Jackson County Circuit Court
The Honorable Patrick W. Campbell, Judge

Appellate Judges:

Division One: Cynthia L. Martin, Presiding Judge, Joseph M. Ellis, Judge, and James E. Welsh,
Judge

Attorneys:

Michael Shipley, Appellant Pro Se.

Jo-Ellen Horn and Jennifer O. Addadi, Kansas City, MO, for respondents.

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT**

IN RE THE MATTER OF: MICHAEL SHIPLEY,

APPELLANT,

v.

**TRUSTEE FOR CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENT,
STATE OF MISSOURI, DEPT. OF SOCIAL
SERVICES, FAMILY SUPPORT DIV., LAURA
GIBBS AND INTERSTATE COLLECTION
UNIT,**

RESPONDENTS.

No. WD78210

Jackson County

Before Division One Judges: Cynthia L. Martin, Presiding Judge, Joseph M. Ellis, Judge, and James E. Welsh, Judge

Michael Shipley appeals judgments in three separate cases that have been consolidated on appeal. In each case, Shipley sought to register as a foreign judgment a federal court order Shipley claims entered a monetary default judgment against the Trustee for Child Support Payment. In each case, Shipley's attempt to register the federal court order was dismissed.

AFFIRMED.

Division One holds:

The federal court orders Shipley attempted to register are not judgments and do not award relief of any kind to any party, let alone monetary relief. The orders were procedural orders signed by a clerk of the court that advised the parties in Shipley's federal case that his motions for a default judgment and a cease and desist order would be taken with the case for later consideration by a federal appellate panel. Section 511.760, the Uniform Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Law, has no application to procedural orders that are not judgments.

Shipley attempts to register the federal orders also was barred by claim preclusion. Shipley's first attempt to register the federal orders was dismissed in a case that occurred before the cases giving rise to this appeal. Shipley failed to appeal the first dismissal. The first dismissal is final and binding on Shipley, and claim preclusion bars his subsequent attempts to register the federal orders.

Per curiam

August 11, 2015

This summary is UNOFFICIAL and should not be quoted or cited.
